

CONTRACT INSPECTION REPORT		CONTRACT NO.	TASK NO.		
TO: Contract Administration & Settlement Branch		DATE 16 October 1968	25X1		
		INSPECTION REPORT NO. (If final, so state) 3			
		ESTIMATED COMPLETION DATE			
NAME OF CONTRACTOR					
TYPE OF COMMODITY OR SERVICE Scientific backup in the field of Physical Optics and related areas for In-House Programs.					
THE CONTRACTOR IS ON SCHEDULE <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO		THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROBABLY REMAIN WITHIN ALLOCATED FUNDS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO IF ANSWER IS "NO" ADVISE RECOMMENDATION AND/OR ACTION OF SPONSORING OFFICE, ON REVERSE HEREOF. IF KNOWN, INDICATE MAGNITUDE OF ADDITIONAL FUNDS INVOLVED.			
PER CENT OF WORK COMPLETED - 25%					
PER CENT OF FUNDS EXPENDED - 23%					
HAS AN INTERIM REPORT, FINAL REPORT, PROTOTYPE, OR OTHER END ITEM BEEN RECEIVED FROM THE CONTRACTOR DURING THE PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, give details on reverse side.)					
HAS GOVERNMENT-OWNED PROPERTY BEEN DELIVERED TO CONTRACTOR DURING THIS PERIOD? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO (If yes, indicate items, quantity, and cost on reverse side.)					
INCENTIVES					
IS THIS AN INCENTIVE CONTRACT <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO IF YES, CHECK TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> COST <input type="checkbox"/> AWARD FEE <input type="checkbox"/> PERFORMANCE <input type="checkbox"/> DELIVERY		NOTE: USE REVERSE SIDE FOR COMMENTS. FINAL REPORT MUST CONTAIN INCENTIVE EVALUATION.			
OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR					
1. <input type="checkbox"/> OUTSTANDING 4. <input type="checkbox"/> ABOVE AVERAGE 7. <input type="checkbox"/> UNSATISFACTORY					
2. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EXCELLENT 5. <input type="checkbox"/> AVERAGE					
3. <input type="checkbox"/> VERY GOOD 6. <input type="checkbox"/> MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE					
IF OVERALL PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTOR IS UNSATISFACTORY OR MINIMUM ACCEPTABLE INDICATE REASONS ON REVERSE SIDE.					
RECOMMENDED ACTION					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CONTINUE AS PROGRAMMED <input type="checkbox"/> WITHHOLD PAYMENT PENDING SATISFACTORY PERFORMANCE					
<input type="checkbox"/> CLOSE OUT <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (Specify)					
IF THIS IS A FINAL REPORT PUT COMMENTS ON REVERSE IN NARRATIVE FORM ON CONTRACTOR'S PERFORMANCE AND CERTIFY THAT ALL DELIVERABLE ITEMS UNDER THE CONTRACT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED. THESE INCLUDE, WHERE APPLICABLE, THE FOLLOWING:					
ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY	ITEM	REC'D	DOES NOT APPLY
PROTOTYPES			MANUALS		
DRAWINGS AND SPECIFICATIONS			FINAL REPORT		
PRODUCTION AND/OR OTHER END ITEMS			SPECIAL TOOLING		
			OTHER GOVERNMENT PROPERTY		
DATE OF LAST CONTACT WITH CONTRACTOR 25 September 1968					
SI			DIVISION TSSG/ESD/EL		
INSPECTOR'S EXTENSION			SIGNATURE OF APPROVER		

NARRATIVE REPORT

☒ INTERIM☐ FINAL

A meeting was held at [] on 26 September 1968 to discuss the problem of residual imagery in the film base after the emulsion has been stripped from the support. During this meeting it was revealed that the investigators are reasonably certain that an image could be recovered from the base if the material was exposed to sufficient radiation of the correct wave length. Since that meeting, it has been definitely reported that residual imagery can be recovered from the support after all the emulsion has been removed.

25X1

A continuing microscope evaluation study has indicated that the approach started under last year's contract was unfeasible due to insufficient energies available through the 1 micron pin holes. However, it appears that a modification of the system may result in successful determination of the MTF of the microscope.

☐ UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

☐ SECRET